

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/678,043	Applicant(s) MCINTOSH ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN HEFFINGTON	Art Unit 2179	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN HEFFINGTON.

(2) Al Watkins.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b) in view of Tuttle

Claims discussed:

8, 14, 16, 28, 38, 40 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Tuttle

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested amendments to the independent claims that would make the claims allowed over the prior art of record. The applicant accepted the amendments

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Boris Pesin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)